The effect of aerosols on long wave radiation and global warming
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A B S T R A C T

The effect of aerosols on long wave (LW) radiation was studied based on narrowband LW calculations in a reference mid-latitude summer atmosphere with and without aerosols. Aerosols were added to the narrowband LW scheme based on their typical schematic observed spectral and vertical behaviour over European land areas. This was found to agree also with the spectral aerosol data from the Lan Zhou University Semi-Arid Climate Observatory and Laboratory measurement stations in the north-western China.

A volcanic stratospheric aerosol load was found to induce local LW warming and a stronger column "greenhouse effect" than a doubled CO2 concentration. A heavy near-surface aerosol load was found to increase the downwelling LW radiation to the surface and to reduce the outgoing LW radiation, acting very much like a thin low cloud in increasing the LW greenhouse effect of the atmosphere. The short wave reflection of white aerosol has, however, stronger impact in general, but the aerosol LW greenhouse effect is non-negligible under heavy aerosol loads.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The earth is in a near radiation balance, the outgoing long wave (thermal) radiation (OLR) closely balancing the absorbed solar radiation. The effect of clouds on OLR and on the cloud radiative forcing (CRF), can be estimated from satellite data by taking the difference between the clear sky scenes and all scenes. These observations indicate that clouds increase the planetary shortwave (SW) albedo by 15% to 30%, thereby reducing the absorbed solar radiation by about 50 W/m². This cooling effect is opposed by the warming effect of clouds on the longwave (LW) radiation (the "cloud LW greenhouse" effect), which reduces the OLR by about 31 W/m² (Hartmann, 1994) on the average.

This study concentrates on the analogous long wave radiative effect ("LW forcing") caused by airborne aerosols (other than clouds), which is less well-known than that of the clouds. During the 1970s, the influence of the aerosol layer height and the changes of surface albedo on the atmospheric radiation balance were investigated by Reck (1974, 1975). The results of those pioneering studies showed that like the clouds, aerosols produce two opposing effects in the atmosphere: they cause heating of the Earth’s surface by enhancing the downwelling LW radiation, but they also increase the planetary SW albedo, which causes a cooling effect. The combined effect depends on many factors including the aerosol type, concentration and height. It also varies on time due to the diurnal and seasonal changes in incoming solar radiation. The cooling effect due to a reduction of the incoming solar radiation often dominates at daytime while the weaker warming effect due to the aerosol LW emission is present throughout the day and may be observed at night time.

In the 1980s, new methods were developed for investigating the aerosol characteristics and their effects on the albedo and climate. These include for example the multi-wavelength satellite extinction measurements (Lenoble, 1986), and balloon or aircraft measurements. At the same time the focus also turned towards the effects of volcanic
aerosol loads in the stratosphere as well as to the effects of aerosols on the local climate in specific locations. The latter was investigated for example in the city of St. Louis, USA in (Method and Carlson, 1982). These studies showed that the effects of aerosols are similar to those of a thin cloud at the same height. The impact is small in magnitude, however and somewhat difficult to measure unless the aerosol concentration is extremely high. The 1990s saw significant increase of research on aerosols and their effect on climate. First computer models of the effects of aerosols on the radiation balance were developed. One such model is presented by Claquin et al. in (Claquin et al., 1997).

In the 21st century, the work of studying and understanding the effects of both natural and anthropologic aerosols on the radiation balance both globally (Dammann et al., 2000) and locally (Shaocai et al., 2001; Han et al., 2012) has continued. The effects of specific types of aerosols or effects of aerosols in specific locations have also been studied (Verma et al., 2006; Wendisch et al., 2008). Most recently, the radiative effects of aerosols have been studied in both urban and remote areas of western India in 2011 (Ramachandran and Kedia, 2011), and Europe (Péré et al., 2012), USA (Mickley et al., 2012) and China (Zhang et al., 2012) in 2012. The results show significant variability of the radiative effects due to the meteorological conditions as well as the aerosol loads themselves. Therefore the aerosol processes and meteorological processes appear to be coupled and they interact with each other. This is best studied by using atmospheric and radiative models equipped with aerosol schemes and using aircraft and satellite measurements. In this way, for instance, the major Saharan dust storms have been shown to imply considerable differences into the LW effects and mechanisms. Such coupled studies, although the most complete, are dominated by the strong daytime SW effects of dust, and so may not be optimal in isolating and characterizing the LW effects and mechanisms.

In this study the OLR differences, the LW surface budget differences and the internal LW heating/cooling rates are studied by comprehensive narrowband LW model calculations, using various aerosol loads in typical mid-latitude conditions. In particular, the observed aerosol loads of north-western China are used as an extreme example, because the wind-blown mineral dust from the surrounding deserts and the heavy industrial pollution in the city of Lan Zhou provide quite large natural and anthropologic aerosol loads for this region. This was analyzed by making LW calculations in a typical mid-latitude summer air column (MLS case) (Ellingson et al., 1991) with a narrow-band spectral LW scheme (Savijärvi, 2006), while introducing variable aerosol, cloud, and greenhouse gas loads into the scheme. The results for the different cases were compared. In particular the aerosol effects on the OLR, on the down-welling LW radiation at the surface (DLR), and on the internal LW heating rate in the atmosphere (LH) with different aerosol and greenhouse gas loads was analyzed.

2. Methodology

2.1. The long-wave radiation scheme

Long wave radiation in the Earth’s atmosphere is defined as the electromagnetic radiation at wavelengths longer than 4 μm, usually from terrestrial origin. The short wave (SW) radiation wavelengths are less than 4 μm. It is usually from solar origin. The thermal LW and solar short wave radiation propagate in the atmosphere, experiencing absorption, emission, scattering and reflection. Unbalanced radiation will lead to variations in the atmospheric, ground and ocean temperatures and air movements (i.e. winds, weather and climate).

The ground and ocean are the main direct heat sources for the troposphere. They absorb solar radiation, for which the atmosphere is relatively transparent. The water vapour, CO₂ and other types of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere have varying abilities to absorb and emit LW radiation. Therefore the LW radiation emitted by the sun-heated ground will propagate through the atmosphere back to space only in the spectral LW window (s) of the greenhouse gases, since O₂ and N₂ are transparent to LW radiation. In general, 75% to 95% of the LW emission of the ground is absorbed by the water vapour, CO₂, O₃ and other greenhouse gases in the troposphere, and re-emitted at the air temperatures to all directions, hence partially back to the ground, creating the “greenhouse effect”. How aerosols then impact this LW greenhouse effect is the subject of this study.

The LW radiation scheme (taken from (Savijärvi, 2006)) calculates the upwelling and downwelling LW fluxes (F_up, F_down) at each altitude from solutions to the plane-parallel equation of radiative transfer, using the absorption (nonscattering) approximation with a diffusivity factor of 1.66, and assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium. The spectral fluxes at each wave number k = 1/λ for a narrow band Δk around k were calculated with a statistical narrow-band model (NBM) for the gaseous transmissivity tgas,k at each band. The NBM covers the 0–1200 cm⁻¹ wave number range in 48 bands (Δk = 25 cm⁻¹), the 1200–2100 cm⁻¹ range in 18 bands (Δk = 50 cm⁻¹), and the 2100–2500 cm⁻¹ range in one band; so there are 67 bands in the LW range. The band parameters for water vapour, CO₂ and O₃ were taken from (Houghton, 2002). The Goody random band model was adopted for water vapour, the Malkmus model for CO₂ and O₃, and the Curtis-Godson method was used for line pressure broadening along inhomogeneous vertical paths. The Roberts et al. scheme (1976) (Roberts et al., 1976), augmented with foreign-broadened contribution, was used for the important water-vapour continuum effect in this study, where we concentrate on the boundary layer, although we recommend the more comprehensive Clough et al. (1992) continuum scheme (Clough et al., 1992) for studies that would concentrate on the upper troposphere.

The local LW heating rate of air is obtained as the vertical convergence of the total net LW flux F_net = F_up − F_down. Thus at each height z, LH is given by:

\[
LH(z) = \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{LWR}} - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( F_{\text{up}} - F_{\text{down}} \right) = \frac{g}{C_p} \frac{\partial F_{\text{net}}}{\partial p} \tag{1}
\]

Here \( \rho \) is the density of air and \( C_p \) the specific heat of air at constant pressure \( p \). The last form follows from the hydrostatic relation.

The NBM should be validated before using it for aerosol-laden atmospheres. This was made in (Savijärvi, 2006), where the key LW flux values were compared with results from the International Comparison of Radiation Codes in Climate Models.
continental LW aerosol models and LW observations from the typical visibility of 20 km. This agrees with other
\( \beta \) exponentially with a scale height \( H \) (~1 km). At the surface LW window region are about 0.01 km
(1992) water-vapour LBL model (Clough et al., 1992) with each band. Thus the aerosols are ineffective in the opaque
range) the LW diffuse transmissivity of aerosols is for each layer and each wavelength band in the NBM.
LW window(s), where \( \tau_{gas} \) and \( \tau_{a} \) are as low as 300 m.

The observed mean concentration of some aerosol particles in Lan Zhou city between the years 2005 and 2008 has been: SO₂: 0.0610 mg m\(^{-3}\), NOx: 0.066 mg m\(^{-3}\), PM\(_{10}\): 0.431 mg m\(^{-3}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\): 0.276 mg m\(^{-3}\). The recommend-
ed daily limits for PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) by the European Union are 0.05 mg m\(^{-3}\) and 0.04 mg m\(^{-3}\) respectively. The annual limits are 0.03 mg m\(^{-3}\) and 0.02 mg m\(^{-3}\). The daily PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration should not exceed the annual limit more than 14 times per year. The daily and annual PM\(_{10}\) limits in Sweden are 0.10 mg m\(^{-3}\) and 0.02 mg m\(^{-3}\) respectively. The observed mean PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations in Lan Zhou in 2005–2008 were therefore more than ten times the allowed annual limits in EU and Sweden.

The SACOL observations of aerosols around and in Lan Zhou, along with observations in Europe, were used to create and validate the detailed aerosol model used in the NBM scheme (Eq. (2)) (Wu, 1998; Zhao et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2010). The values of the key LW quantities, DLR, OLR and LH,
in conditions similar to those in Lan Zhou are shown in the tables of Section 3.3. The extreme case \( V = 0.3 \text{ km} \) in Table 4 resembles the observed conditions during a typical sandstorm. These aerosol observations were used for model validation because they include both polluted urban and continental desert aerosol cases, they are carefully made, and they include some of the heaviest aerosol loads observed in the northern hemisphere.

3.3. Effect of aerosols on LW fluxes

The NBM model was applied to the reference MLS data with different profiles for clouds, \( \text{CO}_2 \) and aerosols in order to better understand the factors changing the key LW quantities (DLR, OLR and LH).

The cloud radiative forcing (CRF) was first studied to give a background by varying the cloud liquid water vertical path (LWP) in the NBM model. The CRF estimated from satellite measurements is shown as a reference in Table 1 from (Hartmann, 1994). The NBM model results are listed in Table 2.

From Table 2, one can see that a thick low cloud will lead to a strong increase in the DLR and to a decrease in the OLR, the latter in agreement with the satellite measurements (satellites cannot measure the DLR change below clouds). Further, the thick low cloud will also lead to the increase of the column longwave cooling rate. At 100 g m\(^{-2}\) the cloud has reached a blackbody state and so any larger LWP (e.g. 300 g m\(^{-2}\)) produces no further change.

The effect of the \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration on the LW quantities was also studied. According to the NBM model applied on the MLS case, the increase of the \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration from...
300 ppm to 600 ppm increases the DLR by about 2 W m\(^{-2}\), while the OLR decreases by about 2.2 W m\(^{-2}\). This results in a minor increase of the LH from \(-1.739 \text{ K/day}\) to \(-1.737 \text{ K/day}\). These values are in good agreement with the estimated global mean radiative forcing of doubled CO\(_2\).

The NBM model was first applied to modelling the effects of aerosols on the LW quantities by studying the influence of a heavy aerosol load in the stratosphere. An aerosol layer with constant \(\beta_{ae} = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}\) at 0.55 \(\mu\)m was added to the MLS case between 14 and 24 km. The vertical visible optical depth of this layer was 1. Such an aerosol load could be produced for example by a major volcanic eruption. The results show that a heavy volcanic aerosol load in the stratosphere will increase the DLR slightly, and decrease the OLR moderately. The DLR increase was 1.71 W m\(^{-2}\) and the OLR decrease 20.58 W m\(^{-2}\).

As the volcanic dust layer net absorbs the surface emission, there is also a temporary 0.157 K/day decrease in the LW cooling rate.

Tropospheric aerosols were modelled using two different approaches, and the results were compared. The first assumes a vertically constant low-level aerosol volume extinction coefficient \(\beta_{ae} (\text{km}^{-1})\) and the second approach assumes an exponentially decaying aerosol load (Eq. (2)). The NBM results with constant \(\beta_{ae}\) are listed in Table 3.

The results in Table 3 show that a vertically constant dust layer with \(\tau = 0.2\) increases the DLR more than the doubled CO\(_2\) did. On the other hand the near-surface dust load has a lesser effect on the outgoing long-wave radiation at the top of the atmosphere than the doubled CO\(_2\) concentration. Assumption of constant \(\beta_{ae}\) with height is, however, usually less realistic than the exponentially decaying dust load (Deng et al., 2010). Table 4 therefore shows results for the exponentially decaying aerosol model (Eq. (2)) with the aerosol scale height \(H = 1 \text{ km}\), as the function of visibility.

The results listed in Table 4 show that the typical “good visibility” of \(V = 20 \text{ km}\) (\(\tau_{vis} = 0.2\)) corresponds to the DLR increase of 2.24 W m\(^{-2}\) and the OLR decrease of only 0.23 W m\(^{-2}\) from the clear sky reference case. The DLR increases of Table 4 are similar to those reported in (Hansell et al., 2010) for Saharan dust. The values of the LW quantities obtained with the two different approaches for the same \(\tau_{vis}\) agree quite well. The lowest row, an extreme case of a really low visibility, \(V = 300 \text{ m}\), represents conditions during a heavy dust storm. It produces \(\tau_{vis} = 13\). The effect of such a dense dust load is similar to that of a thick low cloud (Table 2), with the DLR increase from the clear sky case being 62 W m\(^{-2}\) and the OLR decrease, 10 W m\(^{-2}\).

The relationship between the visibility and the LW quantities was further investigated by varying the scale height \(H\) in Eq. (2). The visibility was fixed to \(V = 20 \text{ km}\). The results are shown in Table 5.

The results of Table 5 show that the increase of the scale height \(H\) from 500 m to 2 km increases the AOD from \(\tau_{vis} = 0.1\) to \(\tau_{vis} = 0.4\), increases the DLR by 2.62 W m\(^{-2}\) and the OLR decrease 1.01 W m\(^{-2}\). This results in the LW cooling decrease from \(-1.749 \text{ K/day}\) to \(-1.763 \text{ K/day}\). The visibility \(V = 4 \text{ km}\) and the scale height \(H = 800 \text{ m}\) resemble the observed conditions in the city of Lan Zhou and other areas during heavy anthropogenic aerosol loads. The corresponding AOD is \(\tau_{vis} = 0.85\). According to the NBM model, in such conditions the DLR is 353.55 W m\(^{-2}\) and OLR is 286.87 W m\(^{-2}\). This decreases the LH to \(-1.807 \text{ K/day}\). Comparison of these values with those of Table 2 shows that the impact of the heavy anthropogenic aerosol load on the LW quantities is similar to that of a thin low cloud with a LWP of about 1 g m\(^{-2}\).

The combined effects of aerosols and low clouds were investigated by adding an aerosol layer (0–1 km) with constant \(\beta_{ae}\) under a thin low cloud at 1–2 km. The LW quantities with different values of \(\beta_{ae}\) are shown in Table 6.

The values of Table 6 show that a thick aerosol layer below a thin low cloud layer results in a moderate increase of DLR and the longwave cooling rate, but only a small decrease of OLR.

The effect of an aerosol layer on the local LW fluxes and the local LW heating rate was also investigated. Constant
\[ \beta_{ae} = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1} \] at 0.55 μm was used for the near surface aerosol cases. The clear sky MLS case with 300 ppm of CO₂ was used as a reference, and only the deviations from it are shown.

The effect of aerosols to the LW fluxes in the MLS air column is shown in Fig. 5, which displays the LW net flux deviations from the clear sky reference case values (Fig. 2) as

Table 1
Cloud radiative forcing as estimated from satellite measurements (W m⁻²) (Hartmann, 1994).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Cloud free</th>
<th>Cloud forcing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OLR</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorbed solar</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>−48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net radiation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>−17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albedo</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
The relationship between low cloud thickness (LWP) and the key LW quantities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low cloud (1–2 km) LWP g/m²</th>
<th>DLR W/m²</th>
<th>OLR W/m²</th>
<th>LH K/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>344.76</td>
<td>287.57</td>
<td>−1.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>353.51</td>
<td>286.38</td>
<td>−1.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>393.53</td>
<td>280.93</td>
<td>−2.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>407.53</td>
<td>279.03</td>
<td>−2.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>407.53</td>
<td>279.03</td>
<td>−2.191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. A Google Maps image showing the location of Lan Zhou city in China.
a function of pressure vertical coordinate. The net flux gradient yields the local LW heating rate by Eq. (1). The variations of the local LW heating rate from the clear sky case are shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 5 shows that the aerosols act to decrease the net flux from the clear sky value near the top of the current aerosol layer, mainly because $F_{\text{down}}$ here increases downward due to the extra LW emission by the aerosol particles, analogously to a thin cloud. This induces LW cooling locally (Fig. 6, Eq. (1)), again as is observed within a thin low cloud. With fixed $\beta_{\text{ae}}$, the strength of the effect depends on the thickness of the layer, and for a thick layer the decrease gets smaller near the surface. Fig. 6 shows that the clear-sky LW heating rate decreases within the aerosol layer, and the strength of the decrease depends on the thickness of the layer. This local cooling by aerosols is strongest in the middle of each aerosol layer. The LW heating rates above the top of the aerosol layer and near the surface are affected only slightly. An aerosol layer of less than 0–2 km appears to have a small cooling effect very near the surface, while a thicker layer displays a slight warming effect.

Fig. 7 shows how an exponentially decaying aerosol load affects the net LW flux of the MLS air column. The $\beta_{\text{ae}}$ was calculated from the horizontal meteorological visibility $V$ by Eq. (2). Scale height $H$ was set to 1 km. The corresponding LW heating rates are given by Eq. (1). The deviations of the LW heating rate from the clear sky MLS case are shown in Fig. 8.

Comparing Figs. 5–8 and Tables 3 and 4, one can see that the results obtained assuming constant $\beta_{\text{ae}}$ and an exponentially decaying aerosol are slightly different. The effect of exponentially decaying aerosol load on the net flux does not decrease near the surface (as was the case with constant $\beta_{\text{ae}}$), unless the aerosol concentration is extremely high. As a consequence, the LW cooling effect is always strongest near the surface for light to moderate exponentially upward decaying aerosol loads. The aerosol concentration must be extremely high for it to have a warming effect very near the surface.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerosol height km</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{vis}}$</th>
<th>DLR W/m²</th>
<th>OLR W/m²</th>
<th>LH K/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>344.76</td>
<td>287.57</td>
<td>−1.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>346.41</td>
<td>287.47</td>
<td>−1.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>347.35</td>
<td>287.25</td>
<td>−1.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>348.17</td>
<td>286.87</td>
<td>−1.762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

The relationship between the horizontal meteorological visibility $V$ and the LW quantities for the aerosol LW model (Eq. (2)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V$ km</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{vis}}$</th>
<th>DLR W/m²</th>
<th>OLR W/m²</th>
<th>LH K/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>344.76</td>
<td>287.57</td>
<td>−1.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>345.67</td>
<td>287.48</td>
<td>−1.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>347.00</td>
<td>287.34</td>
<td>−1.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>349.15</td>
<td>287.11</td>
<td>−1.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>377.97</td>
<td>283.53</td>
<td>−1.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>406.87</td>
<td>277.26</td>
<td>−2.171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

The relationship between the visibility, scale height $H$ and the LW quantities for the aerosol LW model (Eq. (2)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H$ m</th>
<th>$V$ km</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{vis}}$</th>
<th>DLR W/m²</th>
<th>OLR W/m²</th>
<th>LH K/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>346.02</td>
<td>287.52</td>
<td>−1.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>346.62</td>
<td>287.43</td>
<td>−1.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>347.00</td>
<td>287.34</td>
<td>−1.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>348.64</td>
<td>286.51</td>
<td>−1.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>353.55</td>
<td>286.87</td>
<td>−1.807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Conclusion

The effect of aerosols on the LW radiation was studied with a LW radiation scheme using a narrowband model for the gaseous absorption and emission of radiation. Aerosols were added to this model by assuming their typical properties according to observations in Europe and in north-western China (Eq. (2)). The ICRCCM mid-latitude summer atmosphere with 300 ppmv of CO₂ was used as a reference case. The impact of aerosols was then studied by adding or varying the amounts of low cloud, CO₂ and aerosols in the MLS case. The heavy natural and anthropologic aerosol loads observed in the city of Lan Zhou in China were used as an extreme example.

The results confirm the hypothesis that an aerosol layer has a similar effect on the LW quantities as a thin cloud, absorbing LW radiation and re-emitting it partially back towards the ground. The result is an increase of the DLR and a slight decrease of OLR. Comparing Tables 2–6, it can be seen that adding a low cloud or tropospheric near-surface aerosol will lead to an increased overall LW cooling rate. However, adding CO₂ or stratospheric aerosol decreases the column LW cooling rate.

The local effect of aerosols was studied by comparing the local net LW fluxes and the local heating rates of the aerosol cases to those of the clear sky reference case. The results show that the LW cooling rate increases from the clear sky case within the near-surface aerosol layer and the strength of the effect depends on the thickness (height) of a fixed well-mixed aerosol layer. The cooling effect is strongest in the middle of the layer and weaker at its top and near the surface. The cooling at the bottom of a shallow aerosol layer is slightly stronger than in the clear sky case, while a high near-surface aerosol layer can lead to a warming effect very near the surface, like a thin cloud or fog. A stratospheric aerosol layer leads on the other hand to local LW warming, again like a thin high cloud layer.

The strength of the effect of aerosols depends also on the aerosol concentration, which is usually highest very near the
surface. Therefore the LW cooling effect is usually stronger near the surface than near the top of the layer, where the aerosol concentration is lower. In practice, for near-surface aerosols to cause local LW warming at the surface, the concentration has to be extremely high, sand storm-like.

The life assessment studies of the aerosols and greenhouse gases show that lifetimes of tropospheric aerosols range from a few days to a few weeks, depending on their composition, distribution and concentration as well as the altitude and weather conditions (Li and Fan, 2006). The particles with diameter between 0.1 and 10 μm tend to have the longest lifetimes. The radiative forcing effects of the short lived urban and continental aerosols stay mostly near the emission sources, so they essentially affect only the northern hemisphere. On the other hand, the lifetime of greenhouse gas molecules is tens or hundreds of years, and they influence the whole atmosphere.

Atmospheric aerosols influence the solar radiation during the daytime, so their shortwave impact is greatest on low latitudes and during summer. In contrast, the greenhouse gases and aerosols affect the thermal radiation both in daytime and night time. They have influence also during winter and in the middle and high latitudes. The effect of the aerosol particles on SW radiation also depends significantly on the optical properties of the underlying surface reflecting the sunshine. The impact of greenhouse gases is not affected by those. Aerosols also act as CCN, and they can thereby influence the climate indirectly through the changes in the

---

**Fig. 5.** The effect of aerosols on the net LW flux of the MLS case. Vertically constant $\beta_{ae}$ of 0.1 km$^{-1}$ at 0.55 μm.

**Fig. 6.** The effect of aerosols on the LW heating rate of the MLS case. Vertically constant $\beta_{ae}$ of 0.1 km$^{-1}$ at 0.55 μm.
clouds (Li and Fan, 2006). The greenhouse gases do not have such an indirect effect.
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